

CORRIGENDUM – 9

In reference to the Request for Proposal (RFP) bearing Bid Number: GEM/2026/B/7203650 for RFP for Design, Supply, Implementation & Maintenance of Data Lakehouse and AI/ Gen AI Platform for “**Data Transformation and AI Banking**” all interested Bidders are hereby informed that the Changes/Revisions/Addendum as per Corrigendum below are to be taken into account for bid submission. Bidders are requested to note and comply accordingly.

Sr No#	Addition / Deletion / Modification	RFP Clause No. / Annexure No. & Page No.	Existing Requirement / Condition / Annexure	Revised Requirement / Condition / Annexure
1	Modification	Corrigendum -2,3	Hardware Specifications:	The detailed server specifications mentioned in Corrigendum-2 Sr #1 (including but not limited to number of CPU cores, processor frequency in GHz, DIMM slots, memory configuration, etc.) are provided as a reference configuration and shall not be construed as mandatory, provided that the bidder demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Bank, that the proposed solution fully meets or exceeds the SLA, performance, volumetric, scalability, and availability requirements throughout the contract period as specified in the RFP. The bidder shall be solely responsible for end-to-end sizing, design, and capacity planning of the solution. In the event that the deployed solution fails at any stage during implementation, testing, or throughout the contract period to meet the stipulated SLAs, performance standards, throughput, response times, or availability requirements, the bidder shall, at its own cost and without any financial implication to the Bank, promptly undertake all necessary corrective actions. Such actions shall include, but not be limited to, augmentation, upgrade, replacement, or reconfiguration of hardware, software,

				licenses, or associated components to achieve and sustain full compliance with the RFP requirements. No additional cost, claim, or commercial implication shall be admissible to the Bank on account of such enhancements or rectifications, and the bidder shall remain fully accountable for ensuring continuous adherence to the agreed service levels throughout the contract tenure. For the given Volumetric, If the Utilization goes beyond 80%, and results in SLA breach, then Bidder to procure and implement the additional hardware/software/tools at that point of time, without any additional cost to the Bank. The above clarifications would be applicable for the respective items mentioned in FR/Non-FR of the RFP.																									
2	Modification	Corrigendum -2,3	Non-Production environments (DEV, SIT, UAT, Performance, Regression Testing) may be hosted on shared physical infrastructure; however, they must be logically segregated using virtualization/container isolation, network segmentation, and strict role-based access controls	Non-Production environments (DEV, SIT, UAT, Performance, Regression Testing, Sandbox) may be hosted on shared physical infrastructure; however, they must be logically segregated using technologies like e.g. virtualization, container isolation, network segmentation, and strict role-based access controls																									
3	Modification	Corrigendum -2 ,3	Staggered Hardware Delivery: Bidder may supply the chassis accordingly. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year-wise Breakup</th><th>DC</th><th>DR</th><th>Sandbox</th><th>Total</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Year - 1</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Year -2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>2</td></tr> </tbody> </table> The Bidder to supply the necessary licenses required for additional GPUs upto fully populated chasis at the same price, for the entire contract period.	Year-wise Breakup	DC	DR	Sandbox	Total	Year - 1	4	4	2	10	Year -2	0	0	2	2	Hardware Delivery for Year-1: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Environment-wise Breakup</th><th>DC</th><th>DR</th><th>Sandbox/ Non-Prod</th><th>Total</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No of GPUs</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>6</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Environment-wise Breakup	DC	DR	Sandbox/ Non-Prod	Total	No of GPUs	2	2	2	6
Year-wise Breakup	DC	DR	Sandbox	Total																									
Year - 1	4	4	2	10																									
Year -2	0	0	2	2																									
Environment-wise Breakup	DC	DR	Sandbox/ Non-Prod	Total																									
No of GPUs	2	2	2	6																									

4	Addition	Corrigendum -2 ,3	Table 1: Retention Period						Table: Retention Period					
			Data layer	Exam ples of data types	Data size	Hot tier (NV Me)	Non -Hot (Obj ect Stora ge)	Total Rete ntio n						
			Curate d layer	Curate d reporti ng tables (clean ed, confor med)	Mediu m	5 yea rs	2 year s	7 years						
			Usage Optimi zed/ Consu mption layer	BI/ana lytics marts + featur e tables with PII	Mediu m	3 yea rs	2 year s	5 years						
			Usage Optimi zed/ Consu mption layer	Aggreg ated / anony mised datase ts (no direct	Low/M edium	5 yea rs	5 year s	10 years						
									Data layer					
									Example s of data types					
									Data size					
									Hot tier (NV Me)					
									Non- Hot (Obj ect Stora ge)					
									Total Reten tion					
									Curated layer					
									Curated reportin g tables (cleaned, conform ed)					
									Medium					
									2 yrs					
									5 yrs					
									7 yrs					
									Usage Optimiz ed/ Consum ption layer					
									BI/analy tics marts + feature tables with PII					
									Medium					
									3 yrs					
									2 yrs					
									5 yrs					
									Usage Optimiz ed/ Consum ption layer					
									Aggregat ed / anonymi sed datasets (no direct identifier s)					
									Low/ Medium					
									2 yrs					
									8 yrs					
									10 yrs					
									However, to meet SLA/Performance, if any table/data is required to be kept in NVMe beyond the specified years mentioned above Bidders to provision hardware without any additional cost to the Bank.					

			<table><tr><td></td><td>identifiers)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="6"></td></tr></table>		identifiers)											
	identifiers)															
5	Modification	Corrigendum -2 ,3	550 concurrent users -50 adhoc query users+ 500 concurrent BI users, Apart from ETL workload	350 concurrent users -50 adhoc query users+ 300 concurrent BI users, Apart from ETL workload												

6	Modification	RFP Clause# 6.5 Page# 54-55 Annexure-32 Page # 365-366	Sizing for the Platform Infrastructure Expected Data Growth over the 5 years contract period <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>Y1</th><th>Y2</th><th>Y3</th><th>Y4</th><th>Y5</th><th>Total Size</th><th>Total Size in PB</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Incremental daily in GB</td><td>350</td><td>525</td><td>788</td><td>1181</td><td>1772</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Structured Data</td><td>126000</td><td>189000</td><td>283500</td><td>425250</td><td>637875</td><td>1661625</td><td>1.66</td></tr><tr><td>Incremental daily in GB</td><td>105</td><td>158</td><td>236</td><td>354</td><td>532</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Un-structured Data</td><td>378000</td><td>567000</td><td>850500</td><td>1275750</td><td>1913630</td><td>4984880</td><td>0.50</td></tr><tr><td>Existing Data</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.70</td></tr></tbody></table>		Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total Size	Total Size in PB	Incremental daily in GB	350	525	788	1181	1772			Structured Data	126000	189000	283500	425250	637875	1661625	1.66	Incremental daily in GB	105	158	236	354	532			Un-structured Data	378000	567000	850500	1275750	1913630	4984880	0.50	Existing Data							1.70	Sizing for the Platform Infrastructure Expected Data Growth over the 5 years contract period <table><thead><tr><th></th><th>Y1</th><th>Y2</th><th>Y3</th><th>Y4</th><th>Y5</th><th>Total Size in GB</th><th>Total Size in PB</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Incremental daily in GB</td><td>350</td><td>525</td><td>682.5</td><td>887.25</td><td>1153.425</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Structured Data</td><td>127750</td><td>191625</td><td>249113</td><td>323846</td><td>421000.1</td><td>1313333.88</td><td>1.31</td></tr><tr><td>Incremental daily in GB</td><td>105</td><td>157.5</td><td>204.75</td><td>266.18</td><td>346.0275</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Un-structured Data</td><td>38325</td><td>57487.5</td><td>74733.8</td><td>97154</td><td>126300</td><td>394000.163</td><td>0.39</td></tr><tr><td>Existing Data size</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.7</td></tr><tr><td colspan="7">Addition of Str+Un-str+Existing Data:</td><td>3.41</td></tr></tbody></table>		Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total Size in GB	Total Size in PB	Incremental daily in GB	350	525	682.5	887.25	1153.425			Structured Data	127750	191625	249113	323846	421000.1	1313333.88	1.31	Incremental daily in GB	105	157.5	204.75	266.18	346.0275			Un-structured Data	38325	57487.5	74733.8	97154	126300	394000.163	0.39	Existing Data size							1.7	Addition of Str+Un-str+Existing Data:							3.41
	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total Size	Total Size in PB																																																																																																					
Incremental daily in GB	350	525	788	1181	1772																																																																																																							
Structured Data	126000	189000	283500	425250	637875	1661625	1.66																																																																																																					
Incremental daily in GB	105	158	236	354	532																																																																																																							
Un-structured Data	378000	567000	850500	1275750	1913630	4984880	0.50																																																																																																					
Existing Data							1.70																																																																																																					
	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total Size in GB	Total Size in PB																																																																																																					
Incremental daily in GB	350	525	682.5	887.25	1153.425																																																																																																							
Structured Data	127750	191625	249113	323846	421000.1	1313333.88	1.31																																																																																																					
Incremental daily in GB	105	157.5	204.75	266.18	346.0275																																																																																																							
Un-structured Data	38325	57487.5	74733.8	97154	126300	394000.163	0.39																																																																																																					
Existing Data size							1.7																																																																																																					
Addition of Str+Un-str+Existing Data:							3.41																																																																																																					

			<table><tr><td>size Day zero onwar ds</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td colspan="6">Total Structured + Un-structured + Existing Data</td><td>~ 4. 00</td></tr></table>	size Day zero onwar ds									Total Structured + Un-structured + Existing Data						~ 4. 00	<table><tr><td>Approx. Data Size</td><td>3.50</td></tr><tr><td>DC Prod</td><td>3.50</td></tr><tr><td>DR Prod</td><td>3.50</td></tr><tr><td>Non-prod</td><td>1.00</td></tr><tr><td>Total:</td><td>8.00</td></tr></table>	Approx. Data Size	3.50	DC Prod	3.50	DR Prod	3.50	Non-prod	1.00	Total:	8.00
size Day zero onwar ds																														
	Total Structured + Un-structured + Existing Data						~ 4. 00																							
Approx. Data Size	3.50																													
DC Prod	3.50																													
DR Prod	3.50																													
Non-prod	1.00																													
Total:	8.00																													
7	Modification	RFP Clause# 6.11.3 Page#101- 102	Facility Management and Maintenance Minimum post qualification relevant experience should be 5 years	Facility Management and Maintenance Minimum post qualification relevant experience should be 3 years for L2 FMS engineers for all the Roles except admin Role.																										
8	Addition	RFP Clause# 17.2 Page No# 143	Overall Technical Bid Evaluation Parameters	First, marks will be awarded as per the “Max Marks” column of the Technical evaluation table in the RFP (Page No# 143) The final score shall be proportionately normalized to a scale of 100 against the maximum marks for that parameter. Normalized Score = $\frac{\text{Marks Obtained}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times 100$																										